

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA**

RICHARD FELTZ, ASHTON DENNIS, on  
behalf of themselves and all other similarly  
situated,

*Plaintiffs,*

v.

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS  
OF THE COUNTY OF TULSA, *et al.*,

*Defendants.*

Case No. 18-CV-0298-CVE-JFJ

**PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR ADDITIONAL PAGES TO RESPOND TO DEFENDANTS'  
MOTIONS TO DISMISS**

Pursuant to Local Rule 7-1(d), Plaintiffs respectfully move the Court for leave to file a single, combined brief in excess of 25 pages to jointly respond to two of the Defendants' four motions to dismiss the Second Amended Complaint that were filed by Defendants on March 31, 2021. The length of the proposed filing will not exceed 30 pages, excluding captions and signatures. Defendants do not object to this motion. In support of this motion, Plaintiffs state the following:

1. Local Rule 7-1(d) states that: "Absent leave of Court, opening and response briefs shall be limited to twenty-five typewritten pages, and reply and supplemental briefs shall be limited to ten pages in length. Motions for leave to file a brief in excess of twenty-five typewritten pages shall state the requested number of pages and shall be filed no later than one day prior to the date the brief is due."

2. On March 31, 2021, Defendants filed four motions to dismiss Plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint, ECF Nos. 269, 270, 272, 273, 275, 277. Motions to dismiss were filed

on behalf of (1) the Special Judges, (2) the Presiding Judge, (3) the Sheriff, and (4) the Board of County Commissioners of the County of Tulsa.

3. Plaintiffs' responses are due May 5, 2021.

4. Plaintiffs plan to file a single, combined brief in opposition to the Special Judges' and Presiding Judge's two separate motions to dismiss. Plaintiffs believe that there is substantial overlap in the issues presented in Special Judges' and Presiding Judge's briefs, and that responding in one consolidated brief would make for more efficient use of the Court's time.

5. Plaintiffs have diligently attempted to stay within the page limit but the fact that Plaintiffs are responding to the two separate motions of two Defendants in one combined opposition brief necessitates a reply in excess of the allotted 25 pages.

6. Plaintiffs' proposed filing will not exceed 30 pages, excluding captions and signatures.

7. Defendants have indicated that they do not object to the motion.

8. For the foregoing reasons, Plaintiffs request that the Court enter an order permitting Plaintiffs to file an opposition brief of up to 30 pages in length, exclusive of captions and signatures.

Dated May 4, 2021

/s/ Gary Yeung  
Gary Yeung\*  
HOGAN LOVELLS US LLP  
390 Madison Avenue  
New York, NY 10017  
Telephone: (212) 918-3000  
gary.yeung@hoganlovells.com

Hayley Horowitz  
Phoebe Kasdin  
STILL SHE RISES, TULSA  
567 E. 36th Street North  
Tulsa, OK 74106  
hayleyh@stillsherises.org  
(918) 392-0867

Alexandria Twinem\*  
Ryan Downer\*  
CIVIL RIGHTS CORPS  
1601 Connecticut Avenue NW  
Suite 800  
Washington, DC 20009  
alexandria@civilrightscorps.org  
ryan@civilrightscorps.org  
(202) 844-4975

Allison Holt Ryan\*  
Michelle Kisloff\*  
Stevie DeGroff\*  
HOGAN LOVELLS US LLP  
555 Thirteenth Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20004  
allison.holt-ryan@hoganlovells.com  
michelle.kisloff@hoganlovells.com  
stevie.degroff@hoganlovells.com  
(202) 637-5600

Vassi Iliadis\*  
HOGAN LOVELLS US LLP  
1999 Avenue of the Arts, Suite 1400  
Los Angeles, CA 90067  
vassi.iliadis@hoganlovells.com  
(310) 785-4600

*Attorneys for Plaintiffs*

*\*Admitted to practice pro hac vice*

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on this 4th day of May 2021, I electronically transmitted the foregoing document to the Clerk of Court using the ECF System for filing. I further certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing document was sent via the Court's ECF System to all registered parties.

/s/ Gary Yeung  
Gary Yeung